

Moving Forward After Cancer

a Learning Suite for Family Medicine and Oncology Postgraduate Trainees



CANADIAN PARTNERSHIP
AGAINST CANCER

PARTENARIAT CANADIEN
CONTRE LE CANCER

Copyright

FIRST EDITION

© Canadian Partnership Against Cancer, 2017

All rights reserved. Permission is granted for this material to be shared for noncommercial, educational purposes, provided that this notice appears on the reproduced materials, and that the complete web address of the full online version is retained, and copies are not altered. Otherwise, no part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the Publisher.

Access to the complete *Moving Forward After Cancer* curriculum is available on the University of Manitoba Continuing Professional Development website, at:

https://www.cpd-umanitoba.com/elearning/moving-forward-after-cancer/

PREPARED FOR

Canadian Partnership Against Cancer BC Cancer Agency CancerCare Manitoba CancerCare Ontario

PREPARED BY

Cheryl Ann Moser | OneStone Communications

AUTHORED BY

Cheryl Ann Moser | OneStone Communications

CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Stephanie Armstrong

Sarah Benn

Jovce Cheung

Danielle Desautels

Anita Ens

Jaco Fourie

Nancy Fowler

Joel Gingerich

Debbie Iverson

Gerald Konrad

Brent Kvern

Jelena Lukovic

Cheryl Ann Moser

Som Mukherjee

Emmanuel Ozokwelu

Jeff Sisler

Jonathan Sussman

Talia Varley

Prostate Cancer Case: Chuck

Part 1

Narrative

You are seeing Chuck today in clinic. Chuck is a 78-year-old married man who was diagnosed with Stage IIB prostate cancer last year when his PSA was found to be 6.2 ng/L. His Stage IIB cancer was T2c N0 M0, which means: confined to the gland but bilateral, with no nodal involvement. His prostate biopsy came back showing Gleason score 8 (4+4) or "high grade" adenocarcinoma.

Given his age and co-morbidities, he was treated at the cancer centre with radical radiation to his pelvis and a "boost" of radiation to the prostate. He also received LHRH agonist therapy ("hormonal" or androgen deprivation therapy) as neoadjuvant (preceding radiation), concurrent (with radiation) and adjuvant (post-radiation), with a plan to receive this therapy for a total of three years after radiation.

Chuck finished his radiation treatment six months ago. His PSA had decreased to 2.0 ng/L when it was checked at the cancer centre recently.

Chuck's other medical problems include longstanding hypertension, type II diabetes and being a smoker. Chuck smokes 1 pack of cigarettes per day with a 50 pack year history of smoking. His family history is negative for cancer and cardiac disease.

Chuck's most recent BP is 144/88 and his most recent HgbA1c is 8.8%, on metformin 500 mg bid and enalapril 10 mg od. His recent cholesterol profile is TChol 6.6, HDL 1.1, LDL 4.6, TG 1.8. TChol/HDL is 6.0.

Chuck has done fairly well after the radiation treatments. However, he has noticed problems with increasing urinary frequency, urgency and nocturia over the past three months.

Chuck raises a concern with you, his FP, during the visit today. He telephoned the on-call radiation oncologist last week when his urinary frequency was particularly bothersome. He got good advice, but was told he should speak with his FP about such concerns. Today Chuck asks: "I really don't know what to do. How am I supposed to know which doctor to call?"

Questions

Working on your own, jot down your answers to these three questions (three minutes). Next, discuss your answers in your small group (10 minutes). Finally, be prepared to share your answers and discussion with the larger group (10 minutes).

STUDENT WORKBOOK Page 3

| What would be | your goals in blood | d pressure, diabetes | and lipid managen | nent for Chuck? | |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Part 2

Narrative

You are seeing Chuck today in clinic. Chuck is a 78-year-old married man who was diagnosed with Stage IIB. It has now been 12 months since the completion of his radiation treatment, and Chuck's radiation oncologist has indicated her readiness to transfer responsibility for Chuck's care back to his family physician for ongoing management and follow-up. Chuck is happy to continue his prostate cancer care closer to home, since he lives almost two hours away from the cancer centre. However, he is feeling nervous about losing regular touch with his cancer specialist, and is concerned about his cancer monitoring being done right. Chuck has been busy with cancer treatment and hasn't seen his FP for several months.

STUDENT WORKBOOK Page 5

| Questions |
|--|
| 4. How often would you see this patient in follow-up, and how frequently would you monitor his PSA? After radiation treatment, what change in PSA should warrant concern on your part that a change in therapy may be needed? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 5. Chuck is wondering if his 52-year-old son should be checked for prostate cancer. Chuck was 78 at diagnosis and his family comes from Eastern Europe. There is no other prostate or breast cancer in the family. How would you respond to his concerns about screening his relatives for cancer? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 6. trans | Generate a list of the information you think the oncologist should provide the FP at the time of this ition. Should the patient get the same information? | car |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | |] |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Part 3

Narrative

Chuck is now back seeing his family physician in follow-up. During a visit today, his wife has a question. She had read in the educational materials provided by the cancer centre that his general health and pre-existing medical issues may need to be monitored more closely, especially since he will be on hormonal therapy with LHRH agonists (androgen deprivation therapy) in the form of subcutaneous injections for an extended period.

STUDENT WORKBOOK Page 7

| Questions | |
|---|---|
| 7. What are the most important imme therapy? What are the recommended surv | ediate and long-term side effects of receiving androgen deprivation veillance and intervention strategies? |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | away from the large urban cancer centre, would you feel comfortable ns in your office? Why or why not? What other options exist in this |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 9. How would you address smoking ce and doesn't seem very interested in discuss | essation with Chuck? He has expressed that he has lived a good life sing quitting. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |